

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,099		03/26/2004	George Hockenhull	36614	9710
116	7590	04/19/2006		EXAMINER	
PEARNE &			OKEZIE, ESTHER O		
1801 EAST SUITE 1200	_	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAN	ID, OH	44114-3108	3652		
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	_	10/811,099	HOCKENHULL, GEORGE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Esther O. Okezie	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Fe</u>	-					
′—	<i>'</i> —	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 4-9 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 4-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 2/03/2006 and the remarks presented therewith have carefully considered. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1,4,5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chisler.
- 2. Re claim 1, Chisler discloses a device for load suspension comprising a plate (3) and at least three connections points (7) spaced around its perimeter for connecting said plate to a load or a lifting device; said connection points are apertures in said plate for attachment of said plate to shackles (8); further comprising a shackle connected to said load transfer plate at each said connection point, said shackle being rated to carry said load (col. 1, lines 10-45).

•-

Application/Control Number: 10/811,099 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

3. Re claim 4, said plate is triangular and said apertures are located in the three corners of said plate (figs 3,4,5,6).

- 4. Re claim 5, said plate has rounded corners (fig 3).
- 5. Re claim 7, said plate is tri-lobed, and said apertures are located in the three lobes of said plate (fig 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chisler in view of Ferrieri. Chisler discloses a triangular plate in which apertures are regularly spaced around the plate. Chisler does not disclose the plate is circular. Ferrieri discloses a circular plate for lifting objects; because the lifting device is round it is able to be rotably adjusted (col. 2, lines 36-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the lifting device of Chisler as taught by Ferrieri to include a circular shape in order to rotatably adjust to the object being lifted.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,099 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

7. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chisler. Chisler does not disclose the plate is cut from a bar of steel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to fabricate the plate from cutting a bar of steel because steel bar is well known in the art as a sturdy material capable of supported heavy loads.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/811,099 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Esther O. Okezie whose telephone number is (571) 272-8108. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EOO 4/15/06

DEAN J. KRAMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER